arXiv:1212.2772v1 [math.PR] 12 Dec 2012

Independent linear statistics on the cylinders

G.M. Feldman, M.V. Myronyuk

Let either $X=\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T}$ or $X=\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}\times\mathbf{T}$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is the additive group of real number, $\mathbf{T}$ is the cycle group and $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is an $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic solenoid . Let α_{ij}, where $i,j=1,2,3$, be topological automorphisms of the group X. We prove the following analogue of the well-known Skitovich–Darmois theorem for the group X. Let ξ_j, where $j=1,2,3$, be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. If the linear statistics $L_1=\alpha_{11}\xi_1+\alpha_{12}\xi_2+\alpha_{13}\xi_3$, $L_2=\alpha_{21}\xi_1+\alpha_{22}\xi_2+\alpha_{23}\xi_3$, and $L_3=\alpha_{31}\xi_1+\alpha_{32}\xi_2+\alpha_{33}\xi_3$ are independent, then all μ_j are Gaussian distributions.

Key words and phrases: Independent linear statistics, Gaussian distribution, locally compact Abelian group.

1. Introduction

V.P. Skitovich and G. Darmois proved the following characterization theorem for a Gaussian distribution on the real line.

Theorem A ([1], [2], see also [3, Ch. 3]). *Let ξ_j, where $j=1,2,\dots,n$, and $n\geq 2$, be independent random variables. Let α_j,β_j be nonzero constants. If the linear statistics $L_1=\alpha_1\xi_1+\dots+\alpha_n\xi_n$ and $L_2=\beta_1\xi_1+\dots+\beta_n\xi_n$ are independent, then all random variables ξ_j are Gaussian.*

A number of works have been devoted to group analogues of the Skitovich–Darmois theorem (see e.g. [4]–[15], and also [16], where one can find additional references). In particular, the following theorem was proved in [11].

Theorem B. *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group. Assume that the group X contains no subgroup topologically isomorphic to the circle group. Let ξ_j, where $j=1,2,\dots,n$, and $n\geq 2$, be independent random variables with values in X and with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Let α_j, β_j be topological automorphisms of the group X. If the linear statistics $L_1=\alpha_1\xi_1+\dots+\alpha_n\xi_n$ and $L_2=\beta_1\xi_1+\dots+\beta_n\xi_n$ are independent, then all random variables ξ_j are Gaussian.*

Denote by $\mathbf{T}=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:\ |z|=1\}$ the circle group (the one-dimensional torus). As have been noted in [17], Theorem B fails for the group $\mathbf{T}$ even for two linear statistics $L_1=\xi_1+\xi_2$ and $L_2=\xi_1-\xi_2$. It follows from this that if a group X contains a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, then the class of distributions which are characterized by the independence of linear statistics $L_1=\alpha_1\xi_1+\alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2=\beta_1\xi_1+\beta_2\xi_2$ generally speaking contains not only Gaussian distributions. To put it in another way, if a group X contains a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, the Skitovich–Darmois theorem fails if we consider two linear statistics of two independent random variables.

The aim of the article is the proof of the following somewhat unexpected result. We prove that for some locally compact Abelian groups containing a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, the Skitovich–Darmois theorem holds again if we consider three linear statistics of three independent random variables.

Consider the following general problem.

Problem 1. *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, ξ_j, where $j=1,2,\dots,n$, and $n\geq 2$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Let α_{ij}, where $i,j=1,2,\dots,n$, be topological automorphisms of X. Assume that the linear statistics $L_i=\alpha_{i1}\xi_1+\dots+\alpha_{in}\xi_n$, where $i=1,2,\dots,n$, are independent. Describe distributions μ_j.*

It follows from Theorem B that under conditions of Problem 1 if a group X contains no subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, then μ_j are Gaussian. Therefore we should study only the case when X contains a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$.

As has been proved in [11] the solution of Problem 1 can be reduced to its solution for a connected locally compact Abelian group, one of its characteristic is its dimension.

If the dimension of X is equal to 1 and X contains a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, then $X \cong \mathbf{T}$. As has bee proved in [18], under the conditions of Problem 1 the distributions μ_j are either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures supported in the subgroup generated by the element of order 2.

Suppose now that the dimension of X is equal to 2 and the group X contains a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$. Then the group X is topologically isomorphic to one of the groups: $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, where $\mathbf{R}$ is the group of real numbers, $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$, where $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is an $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic solenoid, and $\mathbf{T}^2$. For $n = 2$ Problem 1 for the groups $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ and $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$ was solved in [15]. As in the case when $X = \mathbf{T}$, the distributions μ_j are also either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures supported in the subgroup generated by the element of order 2 in the subgroup $\mathbf{T}$. In the case when $n = 2$ and $X = \mathbf{T}^2$ Problem 1 was not solved completely, but it is known the complete description of topological automorphisms α_j, β_j of the group $\mathbf{T}^2$ such that the independence of the linear statistics $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2$ implies that μ_j are Gaussian distributions [12].

To the best of our knowledge Problem 1 for $n > 2$ has not been studied yet. Moreover, in all articles devoted to the Skitovich–Darmois theorem on groups two linear statistics of $n \geq 2$ independent random variables were considered. But in studying characterization problems on groups, in contrast to the classical case, generally speaking, the consideration of two linear statistics of $n > 2$ independent random variables does not make it possible to characterize the Gaussian distributions and their analogues (see e.g. [16, §14]). As follows from Theorems 1 and 2 proved in the article, the situation is changed if we consider n linear statistics of n independent random variables.

In this article we solve Problem 1 completely in the case when $n = 3$ for the group $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, and then, based on this result, for the group $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$ too. The answer is somewhat unexpected: the distributions μ_j must be Gaussian. To put it in another way, if we consider three linear statistics of three independent random variables the Skitovich–Darmois theorem holds again for these groups. We underline that as has been noted above if we consider two linear statistics of two independent random variables, then for each of the listed groups the distributions μ_j are either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures, i.e. the Skitovich–Darmois theorem fails. Moreover, we see that for these groups the class of distributions which are characterized by the independence of n linear statistics of n independent random variables depends on n.

2. Notation and definitions. The main theorem

Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, Y be its character group. Let (x, y) be the value of the character $y \in Y$ at the point $x \in X$. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ the group of topological automorphisms of X. If H is a closed subgroup of Y, denote by $A(X, H) = \{x \in X : (x, y) = 1$ for all $y \in H\}$ its annihilator. If X_1 and X_2 are locally compact Abelian groups, then for any continuous homomorphism $\alpha : X_1 \mapsto X_2$ define the adjoint homomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha} : Y_2 \mapsto Y_1$ by the formula $(x_1, \widetilde{\alpha} y_2) = (\alpha x_1, y_2)$ for all $x_1 \in X_1,\ y_2 \in Y_2$. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ the subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ consisting of elements ± 1, and denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ the group of integers. Denote by I the identity automorphism of a group. Put $Y^{(2)} = \{2y : \ y \in Y\}$. Let $f(y)$ be a function on the group Y, and h be an arbitrary element of Y. Denote by Δ_h the finite difference operator

$$\Delta_h f(y) = f(y + h) - f(y), \quad y \in Y.$$

A function $f(y)$ on Y is called a polynomial if

$$\Delta_h^{n+1} f(y) = 0$$

for some n and for all $y, h \in Y$. The minimal n for which this equality holds is called the degree of the polynomial $f(y)$. If A and B are subsets of X, we put $A + B = \{x \in X : x = a + b, \ a \in A, \ y \in B\}$.

Denote by $M^1(X)$ the convolution semigroup of probability distributions on X. For $\mu \in M^1(X)$ we denote by

$$\widehat{\mu}(y) = \int_X (x, y) d\mu(x), \quad y \in Y,$$

its characteristic function, and define the distribution $\bar{\mu} \in M^1(X)$ by the formula $\bar{\mu}(B) = \mu(-B)$ for all Borel sets B in X. Note that $\widehat{\bar{\mu}}(y) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(y)}$. Denote by $\sigma(\mu)$ the support of $\mu \in M^1(X)$. If H is a closed subgroup of Y and $\widehat{\mu}(y) = 1$ for $y \in H$, then $\sigma(\mu) \subset A(X, H)$. We say that a distribution $\mu \in M^1(X)$ is concentrated on a Borel set $A \subset X$, if $\mu(B) = 0$ for any Borel set B in X such that $B \cap A = \emptyset$. Denote by E_x the degenerate distribution concentrated at a point $x \in X$.

A distribution $\gamma \in M^1(X)$ is called Gaussian [19], see also [20, Ch. IV], if its characteristic function is represented in the form

$$\widehat{\gamma}(y) = (x, y) \exp\{-\varphi(y)\}, \quad y \in Y,$$

where $x \in X$, and $\varphi(y)$ is a continuous nonnegative function on Y satisfying the equation

$$\varphi(u + v) + \varphi(u - v) = 2[\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)], \quad u, v \in Y. \tag{1}$$

Denote by $\Gamma(X)$ the set of Gaussian distributions on X. It should be noted that according to this definition degenerate distributions are Gaussian.

Let $X = \mathbf{T}$. Then $Y \cong \mathbf{Z}$. In order not to complicate notation we will assume that $Y = \mathbf{Z}$. Let $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$. Denote by $x = (t, z)$, where $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and $z \in \mathbf{T}$, elements of the group X. If $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, then $Y \cong \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$. In order to avoid introducing new notation we assume that $Y = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$, and denote by $y = (s, n)$, where $s \in \mathbf{R}$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, elements of Y. It is easy to verify that every automorphism $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z})$ is defined by a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, c \in \mathbf{R}$, $a \neq 0$, $p = \pm 1$, and ε operates on $Y = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$ as follows

$$\varepsilon(s, n) = (as + cn, pn), \qquad s \in \mathbf{R}, \ n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then the adjoint automorphism $\delta = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is of the form

$$\delta(t, z) = (at, e^{ict} z^p), \qquad t \in \mathbf{R}, \ z \in \mathbf{T}.$$

We identify δ and ε with the corresponding matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$.

The main result of the article is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$, and $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Let ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Assume that the linear statistics $L_1 = \alpha_{11}\xi_1 + \alpha_{12}\xi_2 + \alpha_{13}\xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_{21}\xi_1 + \alpha_{22}\xi_2 + \alpha_{23}\xi_3$, and $L_3 = \alpha_{31}\xi_1 + \alpha_{32}\xi_2 + \alpha_{33}\xi_3$ are independent. Then all μ_j are either degenerate distributions or Gaussian distributions such that their supports are cosets of the group X with respect of a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 is long enough and it is based on the series of lemmas. So, we will explain in some words the idea of the proof. First we prove that under the conditions of Theorem 1 on an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group X the convolution

$$\nu = \mu_1 * \bar{\mu}_1 * \mu_2 * \bar{\mu}_2 * \mu_3 * \bar{\mu}_3$$

is a Gaussian distribution. If a group X contains no subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$, then on the group X the Cramér theorem about decomposition of a Gaussian distribution holds [21], and it implies that the distributions μ_j are Gaussian. But on the group $X = \mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T}$ a Gaussian distribution may have non-Gaussian factors. Thus, generally speaking, if $\nu \in M^1(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T})$, and ν is a Gaussian distribution, it does not imply that factors of ν are also Gaussian. We prove that under the conditions of Theorem 1 the Gaussian distribution ν is not arbitrary, but ν is concentrated on a subgroup topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$. It follows from this by the classical Cramér theorem about decomposition of a Gaussian distribution that the distributions μ_j are also Gaussian.

3. Proof of lemmas

To prove Theorem 1 we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, where $i = 1, 2$. Let ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j. The linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$ are independent if and only if the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy the equation*

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v + \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)\widehat{\mu}_2(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v + \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)\widehat{\mu}_3(u + v + w)$$

$$= \widehat{\mu}_1(u)\widehat{\mu}_2(u)\widehat{\mu}_3(u)\widehat{\mu}_1(\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v)\widehat{\mu}_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_2 v)\widehat{\mu}_3(v)\widehat{\mu}_1(\widetilde{\beta}_1 w)\widehat{\mu}_2(\widetilde{\beta}_2 w)\widehat{\mu}_3(w), \quad u, v, w \in Y. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Note that if ξ is a random variable with values in the group X and distribution μ, then $\widehat{\mu}(y) = \mathbf{E}[(\xi, y)]$. Obviously, the linear statistics L_1, L_2 and L_3 are independent if and only if the equality

$$\mathbf{E}[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, u)(\alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, v)(\beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, w)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, v)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, w)] \tag{3}$$

holds for all $u, v, w \in Y$. Taking into account that the random variables ξ_j are independent, we transform the left-hand side of equality () as follows

$$\mathbf{E}[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, u)(\alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, v)(\beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, w)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, u + \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v + \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)(\xi_2, u + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v + \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)(\xi_3, u + v + w)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, u + \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v + \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_2, u + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v + \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_3, u + v + w)]$$

$$= \widehat{\mu}_1(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v + \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)\widehat{\mu}_2(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v + \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)\widehat{\mu}_3(u + v + w).$$

We transform similarly the right-hand side of equality ()

$$\mathbf{E}[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, v)]\mathbf{E}[(\beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3, w)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, u)(\xi_2, u)(\xi_3, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v)(\xi_2, \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v)(\xi_3, v)]\mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)(\xi_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)(\xi_3, w)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_2, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_3, u)]\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v)\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_2, \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v)$$

$$\times\ \mathbf{E}[(\xi_3, v)]\mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, \widetilde{\beta}_1 w)]\mathbf{E}[(\xi_2, \widetilde{\beta}_2 w)]\mathbf{E}[(\xi_3, w)]$$

$$= \widehat{\mu}_1(u)\widehat{\mu}_2(u)\widehat{\mu}_3(u)\widehat{\mu}_1(\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v)\widehat{\mu}_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_2 v)\widehat{\mu}_3(v)\widehat{\mu}_1(\widetilde{\beta}_1 w)\widehat{\mu}_2(\widetilde{\beta}_2 w)\widehat{\mu}_3(w).$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent random variables with values in the group $\mathbf{R}$ and distributions μ_j. Let a_i, b_i, where $i = 1, 2$, be nonzero constants. Assume that the linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$ are independent. Then all μ_j are* *either degenerate distributions or nondegenerate Gaussian distributions, and the following statements hold:*

1) $a_1b_2 - a_1a_2b_2 - a_1b_1b_2 - a_2b_1 + a_1a_2b_1 + a_2b_1b_2 = 0$;

2) *possible combinations of signs of* a_i, b_i *are described in the table*:

a_1	a_2	b_1	b_2
+	-	-	+
+	-	-	-
-	+	+	-
-	+	-	-
-	-	+	-
-	-	-	+

3) $a_1 \neq a_2$, $b_1 \neq b_2$;

4) $a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 \neq 0$;

5) $\begin{vmatrix} a_1 - 1 & a_2 - 1 \\ b_1 - 1 & b_2 - 1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$

Proof. By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (), which takes the form

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(u + a_1v + b_1w)\widehat{\mu}_2(u + a_2v + b_2w)\widehat{\mu}_3(u + v + w)$$

$$= \widehat{\mu}_1(u)\widehat{\mu}_2(u)\widehat{\mu}_3(u)\widehat{\mu}_1(a_1v)\widehat{\mu}_2(a_2v)\widehat{\mu}_3(v)\widehat{\mu}_1(b_1w)\widehat{\mu}_2(b_2w)\widehat{\mu}_3(w), \quad u, v, w \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{4}$$

By the Skitovich–Darmois theorem the independence of the linear statistics L_1 and L_2 implies that all μ_j are Gaussian distributions, i.e. the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(s)$ are represented in the form

$$\widehat{\mu}_j(s) = \exp\{-\sigma_j s^2 + i\tau_j s\}, \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{5}$$

where $\sigma_j \geq 0$, and $\tau_j \in \mathbf{R}$. Substituting (5) in () we get that the following equalities hold:

$$\sigma_1a_1 + \sigma_2a_2 + \sigma_3 = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$\sigma_1b_1 + \sigma_2b_2 + \sigma_3 = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\sigma_1a_1b_1 + \sigma_2a_2b_2 + \sigma_3 = 0. \tag{8}$$

It follows easily from (6)–(8) that either all μ_j are degenerate distributions or all μ_j are nondegenerate distributions.

Assume that μ_j are nondegenerate distributions. Then in (6)–(8) all $\sigma_j > 0$. Prove statements 1)–5).

1) Since in (6)–(8) all $\sigma_j \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 1 \\ a_1b_1 & a_2b_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e. 1) holds.

2) Since all $\sigma_j > 0$, statement 2) follows directly from (6)–(8).

3) Suppose that $a_1 = a_2$. Then it follows from 2) that $a_i < 0$, and (7) and (8) imply that $(1 - a_1)\sigma_3 = 0$. Since $a_1 < 0$, it follows from this that $\sigma_3 = 0$. Then we get from (6) that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$. The obtained contradiction proves 3) in the case when $a_1 = a_2$. In the case when $b_1 = b_2$ we reason similarly.

4) Assume that $a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 = 0$. Then

$$b_2 = a_2b_1/a_1. \tag{9}$$

Taking into account (9), we rewrite (7) in the form

$$\frac{b_1}{a_1}(\sigma_1 a_1 + \sigma_2 a_2) + \sigma_3 = 0.$$

It follows from this and from (6) that

$$\left(1 - \frac{b_1}{a_1}\right)\sigma_3 = 0. \tag{10}$$

Taking into account 2), (9) implies that either $a_1 > 0,\ \ a_2 < 0,\ \ b_1 < 0$, and $b_2 > 0$ or $a_1 < 0,\ \ a_2 > 0$, $b_1 > 0$, and $b_2 < 0$. Since in the both cases $a_1b_1 < 0$, it follows from (10) that $\sigma_3 = 0$. Then taking into account that $a_ib_i < 0$, we get from (8) that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$. The obtained contradiction proves 4).

5) Assume that $\begin{vmatrix} a_1 - 1 & a_2 - 1 \\ b_1 - 1 & b_2 - 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$, i.e.

$$(a_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1) = (a_2 - 1)(b_1 - 1). \tag{11}$$

Taking into account (11), we rewrite the left hand-side of equality 1) in the following way:

$$a_1b_2 - a_1a_2b_2 - a_1b_1b_2 + a_1a_2b_1b_2 - a_2b_1 + a_1a_2b_1 + a_2b_1b_2 - a_1a_2b_1b_2$$

$$= a_1b_2(1 - a_2 - b_1 + a_2b_1) - a_2b_1(1 - a_1 - b_2 + a_1b_2)$$

$$= a_1b_2(a_2 - 1)(b_1 - 1) - a_2b_1(a_1 - 1)(b_2 - 1) = (a_2 - 1)(b_1 - 1)(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) = 0.$$

Since, according to 4), $a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 \neq 0$, we have either $a_2 = 1$ or $b_1 = 1$. It follows from 2) that in these cases $a_1 < 0$, and $b_2 < 0$, hence this contradicts to (11). □

Lemma 3 ([22, §2]). *Let X be a topological Abelian group, and G be a Borel subgroup of X, $\mu \in M^1(G)$, and $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$. Then the distributions μ_j may be replaced by their shifts μ'_j such that $\mu = \mu'_1 * \mu'_2$, and $\mu'_j \in M^1(G)$.*

Lemma 4 ([18]). *Let $X = \mathbf{T}$, and ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group $X = \mathbf{T}$ and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Assume that the sum $\xi_1 + \xi_2$ and the difference $\xi_1 + \xi_2$ are independent. Then $\mu_j = E_{x_j} * \gamma * \pi_j$, where $x_j \in \mathbf{T}$, $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T})$, π_j are signed measures on $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ such that $\pi_1 * \pi_2 = E_1$. To put it in another way, the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(n)$ are represented in the form*

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(n) = \exp\{-\sigma n^2 + i\theta_1 n + \kappa(1 - (-1)^n)\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z},$$

$$\widehat{\mu}_2(n) = \exp\{-\sigma n^2 + i\theta_2 n - \kappa(1 - (-1)^n)\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{12}$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 \leq \theta_j < 2\pi$, $j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 5. *Let $X = \mathbf{T}$, and ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Let $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. If the linear statistics $L_1 = \alpha_{11}\xi_1 + \alpha_{12}\xi_2 + \alpha_{13}\xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_{21}\xi_1 + \alpha_{22}\xi_2 + \alpha_{23}\xi_3$, and $L_3 = \alpha_{31}\xi_1 + \alpha_{32}\xi_2 + \alpha_{33}\xi_3$ are independent, then all μ_j are degenerate distributions.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \{I, -I\}$. We note that, if for some two linear statistics, say for L_1 and L_2, we have $L_1 = \pm L_2$, then all μ_j are degenerate distributions. So, we can assume that

$L_i \neq \pm L_j$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and $i \neq j$. This easily implies that we can suppose without loss of generality that $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \xi_1 - \xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = -\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$.

Put $\eta_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_3$, $\eta_2 = \xi_2$. Then η_1 and η_2 are independent random variables. The independence of L_1 and L_2 implies that the sum $\eta_1 + \eta_2$ and the difference $\eta_1 - \eta_2$ are also independent. Applying Lemma 4 to the random variables η_1 and η_2 we obtain that there exists $\sigma_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{\mu}_1(2n)||\widehat{\mu}_3(2n)| = |\widehat{\mu}_2(2n)| = e^{-4\sigma_2 n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{13}$$

Reasoning as above we get that the independence of the linear statistics L_1 and L_3, and the linear statistics $-L_2$ and L_3 imply that there exist $\sigma_1 \geq 0$ and $\sigma_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{\mu}_2(2n)||\widehat{\mu}_3(2n)| = |\widehat{\mu}_1(2n)| = e^{-4\sigma_1 n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{14}$$

$$|\widehat{\mu}_1(2n)||\widehat{\mu}_2(2n)| = |\widehat{\mu}_3(2n)| = e^{-4\sigma_3 n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{15}$$

It follows from (13)–(15) that

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 = \sigma_2, \quad \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = \sigma_1, \quad \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \sigma_3,$$

hence

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since $\widehat{\mu}_j(n)$ are the characteristic functions of some distributions, (16) implies that in () $\kappa = 0$. Hence, μ_j are degenerate distributions. $\square$

Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, where $i = 1, 2$. We introduce the notation

$$L = (\widetilde{\alpha}_1 - I)Y + (\widetilde{\beta}_1 - I)Y, \ M = (\widetilde{\alpha}_2 - I)Y + (\widetilde{\beta}_2 - I)Y, \ N = (\widetilde{\alpha}_2 - \widetilde{\alpha}_1)Y + (\widetilde{\beta}_2 - \widetilde{\beta}_1)Y,$$

and retain them in the course of the article.

Lemma 6. *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, where $i = 1, 2$. Let ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Assume that the linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$ are independent. Put $\nu_j = \mu_j * \bar{\mu}_j$. Then $\nu = \nu_1 * \nu_2 * \nu_3 \in \Gamma(X)$, and the functions $\psi_j(y) = -\log \widehat{\nu}_j(y)$ satisfy the equations:*

$$\Delta_h \Delta_k \Delta_l \psi_1(y) = 0, \quad h, y \in Y, \ k \in N, \ l \in L, \tag{17}$$

$$\Delta_h \Delta_k \Delta_l \psi_2(y) = 0, \quad h, y \in Y, \ k \in N, \ l \in M, \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta_h \Delta_k \Delta_l \psi_3(y) = 0, \quad h, y \in Y, \ k \in L, \ l \in M. \tag{19}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (). Note that $\widehat{\nu}_j(y) = |\widehat{\mu}_j(y)|^2 > 0$, $y \in Y$, and the characteristic functions $\widehat{\nu}_j(y)$ also satisfy equation (). It follows from equation () that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_1 v + \widetilde{\beta}_1 w) + \psi_2(u + \widetilde{\alpha}_2 v + \widetilde{\beta}_2 w) + \psi_3(u + v + w) \\ = A(u) + B(v) + C(w), \quad u, v, w \in Y, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $A(y) = \psi_1(y) + \psi_2(y) + \psi_3(y)$, $B(y) = \psi_1(\widetilde{\alpha}_1 y) + \psi_2(\widetilde{\alpha}_2 y) + \psi_3(y)$, $C(y) = \psi_1(\widetilde{\beta}_1 y) + \psi_2(\widetilde{\beta}_2 y) + \psi_3(y)$.

We use the finite difference method to solve equation (). Let k_1 and m_1 be arbitrary elements of the group Y. Set $h_1=-k_1-m_1$, then $h_1+k_1+m_1=0$. Substitute $u+h_1$ for u, $v+k_1$ for v, and $w+m_1$ for w in equation (). Subtracting equation () from the resulting equation we obtain

$$\Delta_{l_{11}}\psi_1(u+\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v+\widetilde{\beta}_1 w)+\Delta_{l_{12}}\psi_2(u+\widetilde{\alpha}_2 v+\widetilde{\beta}_2 w)$$

$$=\Delta_{h_1}A(u)+\Delta_{k_1}B(v)+\Delta_{m_1}C(w), \quad u,v,w\in Y, \tag{21}$$

where $l_{11}=(\widetilde{\alpha}_1-I)k_1+(\widetilde{\beta}_1-I)m_1$, and $l_{12}=(\widetilde{\alpha}_2-I)k_1+(\widetilde{\beta}_2-I)m_1$. Let k_2 and m_2 be arbitrary elements of the group Y. Set $h_2=-\widetilde{\alpha}_2k_2-\widetilde{\beta}_2m_2$. Then $h_2+\widetilde{\alpha}_2k_2+\widetilde{\beta}_2m_2=0$. Substitute $u+h_2$ for u, $v+k_2$ for v, and $w+m_2$ for w in equation (). Subtracting equation () from the resulting equation we find

$$\Delta_{l_{21}}\Delta_{l_{11}}\psi_1(u+\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v+\widetilde{\beta}_1 w)=\Delta_{h_2}\Delta_{h_1}A(u)+\Delta_{k_2}\Delta_{k_1}B(v)+\Delta_{m_2}\Delta_{m_1}C(w), \quad u,v,w\in Y, \tag{22}$$

where $l_{21}=(\widetilde{\alpha}_2-\widetilde{\alpha}_1)k_2+(\widetilde{\beta}_2-\widetilde{\beta}_1)m_2$. Let k_3 and m_3 be arbitrary elements of the group Y. Set $h_3=-\widetilde{\alpha}_1k_3-\widetilde{\beta}_1m_3$. Then $h_3+\widetilde{\alpha}_1k_3+\widetilde{\beta}_1m_3=0$. Substitute $u+h_3$ for u, $v+k_3$ for v, and $w+m_3$ for w in equation (22). Subtracting equation (22) from the resulting equation we get

$$\Delta_{h_3}\Delta_{h_2}\Delta_{h_1}A(u)+\Delta_{k_3}\Delta_{k_2}\Delta_{k_1}B(v)+\Delta_{m_3}\Delta_{m_2}\Delta_{m_1}C(w)=0, \quad u,v,w\in Y. \tag{23}$$

Let h_4 be an arbitrary element of the group Y. Substitute $u+h_4$ for u in equation (23). Subtracting equation (23) from the resulting equation we obtain

$$\Delta_{h_4}\Delta_{h_3}\Delta_{h_2}\Delta_{h_1}A(u)=0, \quad h_j,u\in Y. \tag{24}$$

Putting in (24) $h_1=h_2=h_3=h_4=h$, we receive

$$\Delta_h^4A(u)=0, \quad h,u\in Y. \tag{25}$$

Thus, $A(y)$ is a polynomial of degree ≤ 3. Then there exist symmetric k-additive functions $g_k(y_1,y_2,\dots,y_k)$, where $k=1,2,3$, such that

$$A(y)=g_3^*(y)+g_2^*(y)+g_1^*(y)+g_0, \quad y\in Y,$$

where $g_k^*(y)=g_k(y,\dots,y)$, and $g_0=const$ [23]. It is obvious that $g_k^*(-y)=(-1)^kg_k^*(y)$. Since $A(-y)=A(y)$ and $A(0)=0$, we have $A(y)=g_2^*(y)$. It is not difficult to verify that the function $g_2^*(y)$ satisfies equation (1). Hence, $\nu\in\Gamma(X)$.

Since $A(y)=g_2^*(y)=g_2(y,y)$, it is easily seen that the function $A(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_{h_3}\Delta_{h_2}\Delta_{h_1}A(u)=0, \quad h_j,u\in Y. \tag{26}$$

Reasoning as above we get that the functions $B(v)$ and $C(w)$ also satisfy equation (26).

Let h be an arbitrary element of the group Y. Substitute $u+h$ for u in equation (22). Subtracting equation (22) from the resulting equation and taking into account (26) we find

$$\Delta_h\Delta_{l_{21}}\Delta_{l_{11}}\psi_1(u+\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v+\widetilde{\beta}_1 w)=0. \tag{27}$$

Putting here $v=w=0$ and considering expressions for l_{11} and l_{21}, we obtain that the function $\psi_1(y)$ satisfies equation (17). Reasoning as above we make sure that the functions $\psi_2(y)$ and $\psi_3(y)$ satisfy equations (18) and (19) respectively. □

Lemma 7. *Let* $X=\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T}$, *and* $\alpha_i=\begin{pmatrix}a_i & c_i\\ 0 & p_i\end{pmatrix}$, $\beta_i=\begin{pmatrix}b_i & d_i\\ 0 & q_i\end{pmatrix}$, *where* $i=1,2$, *are topological automorphisms of the group* X. *Let* ξ_j, *where* $j=1,2,3$, *be independent random variables with values in* X *and distributions* μ_j. *Assume that the linear statistics* $L_1=\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3$, $L_2=\alpha_1\xi_1+\alpha_2\xi_2+\xi_3$, *and* $L_3=\beta_1\xi_1+\beta_2\xi_2+\xi_3$ *are independent. Then either all* μ_j *are degenerate distributions or all* μ_j *are nondegenerate distributions, and there are the following possibilities for the subgroups* L, M, *and* N*:*

1. $L=\mathbf{R},\ M=\mathbf{R},\ N=\mathbf{R}$;
2. $L=\mathbf{R},\ M=Y^{(2)},\ N=Y^{(2)}$;
3. $L=Y^{(2)},\ M=\mathbf{R},\ N=Y^{(2)}$;
4. $L=Y^{(2)},\ M=Y^{(2)},\ N=\mathbf{R}$;
5. $L=Y^{(2)},\ M=Y^{(2)},\ N=Y^{(2)}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (). Putting in () $u=(s_1,0),\ v=(s_2,0),\ w=(s_3,0)$, we obtain

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(s_1+a_1s_2+b_1s_3,0)\widehat{\mu}_2(s_1+a_2s_2+b_2s_3,0)\widehat{\mu}_3(s_1+s_2+s_3,0)=\widehat{\mu}_1(s_1,0)\widehat{\mu}_2(s_1,0)\widehat{\mu}_3(s_1,0)$$
$$\times\widehat{\mu}_1(a_1s_2,0)\widehat{\mu}_2(a_2s_2,0)\widehat{\mu}_3(s_2,0)\widehat{\mu}_1(b_1s_3,0)\widehat{\mu}_2(b_2s_3,0)\widehat{\mu}_3(s_3,0),\quad s_1,s_2,s_3\in\mathbf{R}. \tag{28}$$

Set $\nu_j=\mu_j*\bar{\mu}_j$. Then $\widehat{\nu}_j(y)=|\widehat{\mu}_j(y)|^2>0,\ y\in Y$. Obviously, the functions $\widehat{\nu}_j(y)$ also satisfy equation (). Hence, they satisfy equation (). It follows from () and Lemma 2 for the group $\mathbf{R}$ that if at least one of the functions $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)$ is the characteristic function of a nondegenerate distribution, then all $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)$ are the characteristic function of nondegenerate Gaussian distributions. To put it in another way, either $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)=1,\ \ s\in\mathbf{R}$ or $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)=e^{-\sigma_js^2},\ \ s\in\mathbf{R}$, where $\sigma_j>0$, and $j=1,2,3$.

Assume that $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)=1,\ \ s\in\mathbf{R}$, where $j=1,2,3$. This implies the inclusions $\sigma(\nu_j)\subset A(X,\mathbf{R})=\mathbf{T}$, where $j=1,2,3$. Hence, by Lemma 3 the distributions μ_j may be replaced by their shifts μ'_j such that $\sigma(\mu'_j)\subset\mathbf{T}$, where $j=1,2,3$. Since the subgroup $\mathbf{T}$ is invariant with respect to any topological automorphism of the group X, by Lemma 5 all μ_j are degenerate distributions.

Suppose now that $\widehat{\nu}_j(s,0)=e^{-\sigma_js^2}$, where $s\in\mathbf{R}$, $\sigma_j>0$, and $j=1,2,3$. Then statement 2) of Lemma 2 implies that the following conditions hold:

(i) either $a_1\neq1$ or $b_1\neq1$;
(ii) either $a_2\neq1$ or $b_2\neq1$.

Note that it follows from (i) that there are only two possibilities for L: either $L=\mathbf{R}$ or $L=Y^{(2)}$. Reasoning as above we get from (ii) that there are only two possibilities for M: either $M=\mathbf{R}$ or $M=Y^{(2)}$. Obviously, each of these possibilities can be realized.

Let $L=\mathbf{R}$ и $M=\mathbf{R}$. Then $p_i=q_i=1$, where $i=1,2$. Hence, $p_2-p_1=0$, and $q_2-q_1=0$. Taking into account statement 3) of Lemma 2, this implies that for N there exists the only possibility $N=\mathbf{R}$.

Let $L=\mathbf{R}$ and $M=Y^{(2)}$. Then $p_1=q_1=1$ and either $p_2=-1$ or $q_2=-1$. Hence, either $p_2-p_1=-2$ or $q_2-q_1=-2$. Taking into account statement 3) of Lemma 2, this implies that for N there exists the only possibility $N=Y^{(2)}$.

Let $L=Y^{(2)}$ and $M=\mathbf{R}$. Then either $p_1=-1$ or $q_1=-1$, and $p_2=q_2=1$. Hence, either $p_2-p_1=2$ or $q_2-q_1=2$. Taking into account statement 3) of Lemma 2, this implies that for N there exists also the only possibility $N=Y^{(2)}$.

Let $L=Y^{(2)}$ and $M=Y^{(2)}$. Then either $p_1=-1$ or $q_1=-1$, and either $p_2=-1$ or $q_2=-1$. If $p_1=p_2$ and $q_1=q_2$, then by statement 3) of Lemma 2, $N=\mathbf{R}$. If either $p_1\neq p_2$ or $q_1\neq q_2$, then by statement 3) of Lemma 2, $N=Y^{(2)}$. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let* $Y = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$*, and a continuous function* $f(y)$*,* $y = (s, n) \in Y$*, satisfies the equation*

$$\Delta_k^2 \Delta_h f(y) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbf{R},\ h, y \in Y. \tag{29}$$

Assume also that $f(-y) = f(y)$*,* $y \in Y$*. Then*

$$f(s, n) = \sigma s^2 + \kappa(n)s + \lambda(n), \quad s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{30}$$

where $\kappa(-n) = -\kappa(n)$ *and* $\lambda(-n) = \lambda(n)$*,* $n \in \mathbf{Z}$*.*

Proof. Put $f_n(s) = f(s, n)$, where $s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Putting in (29) $y = (s, n)$, $k = h = (t, 0)$, we get that the function $f_n(s)$ for any fixed n satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_t^3 f_n(s) = 0, \quad t, s \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{31}$$

It follows from (31) that the function $f_n(s)$ is of the form

$$f_n(s) = \sigma(n)s^2 + \kappa(n)s + \lambda(n), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{32}$$

Substitute representation (32) for the function $f_n(s)$ into (29), supposing that $h = (0, m)$, $k = (t, 0)$. We get

$$\Delta_k^2 \Delta_h f_n(s) = \Delta_h \Delta_t^2 f_n(s) = \Delta_h \sigma(n) \Delta_t^2 s^2 = 2t^2 \Delta_m \sigma(n) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},\ m \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

This implies that $\Delta_m \sigma(n) = 0$, i.e. $\sigma(n) = \sigma = const$.

Since $f(-y) = f(y),\ y \in Y$, it is obvious that $\kappa(-n) = -\kappa(n)$ and $\lambda(-n) = \lambda(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. It should be noted that any function $f(s, n)$ of the form (30) satisfies equation (29). □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let X be an arbitrary second countable locally compact Abelian group. First we note that if μ is the distribution of a random variable ξ with values in the group X and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, then the characteristic function of a random variable $\alpha\xi$ is $\widehat{\mu}(\widetilde{\alpha} y)$. This implies that $\mu \in \Gamma(X)$ if and only if $\alpha(\mu) \in \Gamma(X)$. Thus, putting $\zeta_j = \alpha_{1j}\xi_j$, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to the case when the L_1, L_2, and L_3 have the form $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \delta_{21}\xi_1 + \delta_{22}\xi_2 + \delta_{23}\xi_3$, and $L_3 = \delta_{31}\xi_1 + \delta_{32}\xi_2 + \delta_{33}\xi_3$, where $\delta_{ij} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. We also note that if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$, then the linear statistics L_1, L_2, and L_3 are independent if and only if the linear statistics L_1, αL_2, and βL_3 are independent. Thus, in proving Theorem 1 we may assume that $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, where $\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & c_i \\ 0 & p_i \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_i & d_i \\ 0 & q_i \end{pmatrix}$, and $i = 1, 2$, are topological automorphisms of the group $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$.

Put $\nu_j = \mu_j * \bar{\mu}_j$. Taking into consideration Lemma 7, we can prove the theorem assuming that all μ_j are nondegenerate distributions. Moreover, it follows from the proof of Lemma 7, we have in this case $\widehat{\nu}_j(s, 0) = e^{-\sigma_j s^2}$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, where $\sigma_j > 0$, and $j = 1, 2, 3$. We note that then σ_j satisfy equations (6)–(8) and statements 1)–5) of Lemma 2 hold.

By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (). Obviously, the characteristic functions $\widehat{\nu}_j(y)$ also satisfy equation (). Put $\psi_j(y) = -\log \widehat{\nu}_j(y)$. Then () implies that the functions $\psi_j(y)$ satisfy equation ().

By Lemma 6 the function $\psi_1(s, n)$ satisfies equation (17). Apply now Lemma 7, and note that the inclusion

$$\mathbf{R} \subset N \cap L \tag{33}$$

is always valid. Then it follows from (17) and (33) that the function $\psi_1(s, n)$ satisfies equation (29). Reasoning as above we get that the functions $\psi_2(s, n)$ and $\psi_3(s, n)$ also satisfy equation (29). Applying Lemma 8 to the functions $\psi_j(s, n)$ we obtain the representations

$$\psi_j(s, n) = \sigma_j s^2 + \kappa_j(n)s + \lambda_j(n), \quad s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{34}$$

where $\kappa_j(-n) = -\kappa_j(n)$, $\lambda_j(-n) = \lambda_j(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\kappa_j(0) = 0$, $\lambda_j(0) = 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

By Lemma 6, $\nu = \nu_1 * \nu_2 * \nu_3 \in \Gamma(X)$. Obviously, then we have

$$\widehat{\nu}(s, n) = \exp\{-(\sigma s^2 + \kappa sn + \lambda n^2)\}, \quad s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{35}$$

where $\sigma > 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and

$$\psi_1(s, n) + \psi_2(s, n) + \psi_3(s, n) = \sigma s^2 + \kappa sn + \lambda n^2, \quad s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{36}$$

We check that $\kappa_j(n)$ in (34) are linear functions. Put in () $u = (0, n)$, $v = (s_2, 0)$, $w = (s_3, 0)$. Note that $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v = (a_1 s_2, 0)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_2 v = (a_2 s_2, 0)$, $\widetilde{\beta}_1 w = (b_1 s_3, 0)$, and $\widetilde{\beta}_2 w = (b_2 s_3, 0)$. Taking into account representations (34), we find from () that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1(a_1 s_2 + b_1 s_3)^2 + \kappa_1(n)(a_1 s_2 + b_1 s_3) + \lambda_1(n) + \sigma_2(a_2 s_2 + b_2 s_3)^2 \\ &+\kappa_2(n)(a_2 s_2 + b_2 s_3) + \lambda_2(n) + \sigma_3(s_2 + s_3)^2 + \kappa_3(n)(s_2 + s_3) + \lambda_3(n) \\ &= \lambda_1(n) + \lambda_2(n) + \lambda_3(n) + \sigma_1(a_1 s_2)^2 + \sigma_2(a_2 s_2)^2 + \sigma_3 s_2^2 \\ &+\sigma_1(b_1 s_3)^2 + \sigma_2(b_2 s_3)^2 + \sigma_3 s_3^2, \quad s_2, s_3 \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Setting in () $s_2 = 1, s_3 = 0$, we get

$$a_1\kappa_1(n) + a_2\kappa_2(n) + \kappa_3(n) = 0,\ n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{38}$$

Setting in () $s_2 = 0, s_3 = 1$, we find

$$b_1\kappa_1(n) + b_2\kappa_2(n) + \kappa_3(n) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{39}$$

On the other hand it follows from (34) and (36) that

$$\kappa_1(n) + \kappa_2(n) + \kappa_3(n) = \kappa n, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{40}$$

Subtracting from (38) and (39) equality (40), we obtain

$$(a_1 - 1)\kappa_1(n) + (a_2 - 1)\kappa_2(n) = -\kappa n, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{41}$$

$$(b_1 - 1)\kappa_1(n) + (b_2 - 1)\kappa_2(n) = -\kappa n, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{42}$$

Taking into account that by statement 5) of Lemma 2 the inequality $\begin{vmatrix} a_1 - 1 & a_2 - 1 \\ b_1 - 1 & b_2 - 1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ holds, it follows from (40)–(42) that $\kappa_j(n)$ are linear functions, i.e. $\kappa_j(n) = \kappa_j n$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\kappa_j \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus, representations (34) take the form

$$\psi_j(s, n) = \sigma_j s^2 + \kappa_j sn + \lambda_j(n), \quad s \in \mathbf{R},\ n \in \mathbf{Z},\ j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{43}$$

Note that it follows from (36) and (43) that

$$\lambda_1(n) + \lambda_2(n) + \lambda_3(n) = \lambda n^2, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{44}$$

Let $u = (s_1, n_1)$, $v = (s_2, n_2)$, $w = (s_3, n_3)$. Then $\widetilde{\alpha}_1 v = (a_1 s_2 + c_1 n_2, p_1 n_2)$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_2 v = (a_2 s_2 + c_2 n_2, p_2 n_2)$, $\widetilde{\beta}_1 w = (b_1 s_3 + d_1 n_3, q_1 n_3)$, $\widetilde{\beta}_2 w = (b_2 s_3 + d_2 n_3, q_2 n_3)$. Substituting representations (43) into (), we get that equalities (6)–(8) hold. Moreover, the following equalities also hold:

$$\kappa_1 a_1 + \kappa_2 a_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{45}$$

$$\kappa_1 b_1 + \kappa_2 b_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{46}$$

$$2\sigma_1 c_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 + \kappa_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 p_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{47}$$

$$2\sigma_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 q_1 + \kappa_2 q_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$2\sigma_1 a_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 a_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 a_1 q_1 + \kappa_2 a_2 q_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{49}$$

$$2\sigma_1 b_1 c_1 + 2\sigma_2 b_2 c_2 + \kappa_1 b_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 b_2 p_2 + \kappa_3 = 0 \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{gathered} n_1 n_2(\kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2) + n_1 n_3(\kappa_1 d_1 + \kappa_2 d_2) \\ + n_2 n_3(2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 c_1 q_1 + \kappa_1 d_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 q_2 + \kappa_2 d_2 p_2) \\ + \lambda_1(n_1 + p_1 n_2 + q_1 n_3) + \lambda_2(n_1 + p_2 n_2 + q_2 n_3) \\ + \lambda_3(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) = \lambda(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2), \quad n_j \in \mathbf{Z}. \end{gathered} \tag{51}$$

We find from (36) and (43) that

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = \sigma, \tag{52}$$

and

$$\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 = \kappa. \tag{53}$$

Prove that the support of ν is a subgroup of X topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$. It follows from (35) that this statement will be proved if we check that

$$4\sigma\lambda = \kappa^2, \tag{54}$$

i.e. taking into account (52) and (53), we should prove that

$$4(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)\lambda = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)^2. \tag{55}$$

The proof of (55) is the series of elementary and boring computations. Note that by statement 4) of Lemma 2 we have $a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2 \neq 0$. Then it follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{b_2 - a_2}{a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2}\sigma_3, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{a_1 - b_1}{a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2}\sigma_3. \tag{56}$$

Similarly we find from (45) and (46) that

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{b_2 - a_2}{a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2}\kappa_3, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{a_1 - b_1}{a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2}\kappa_3. \tag{57}$$

Each of the numbers p_j and q_j can take the values ±1. We have here some cases and consider each of them separately.

I. Let $p_1 = p_2 = 1$. Then (47) implies that

$$\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 = -2\sigma_1 c_1 - 2\sigma_2 c_2 \tag{58}$$

Putting in () $n_1 = -n_2 = 1, \ n_3 = 0$, we get

$$-\kappa_1 c_1 - \kappa_2 c_2 = 2\lambda. \tag{59}$$

Substituting (58) and (59) into (55) and taking into account (56) and (57), we make sure that we obtain an equality. Thus, in case **I** equality (54) is proved.

II. Let $q_1 = q_2 = 1$. Then (48) implies that

$$\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3 = -2\sigma_1 d_1 - 2\sigma_2 d_2 \tag{60}$$

Putting in () $n_1 = -n_3 = 1, n_2 = 0$, we obtain

$$-\kappa_1 d_1 - \kappa_2 d_2 = 2\lambda. \tag{61}$$

Substituting (60) and (61) into (55) and taking into account (56) and (57), we make sure that we obtain an equality. Thus, in case **II** equality (54) is proved.

III. Let either $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2 = -1$ or $p_1 = q_1 = -1$ and $p_2 = q_2 = 1$ or $p_1 = q_1 = 1$ and $p_2 = q_2 = -1$. Putting in () $n_1 = 0,\ n_2 = -n_3 = 1$, we get

$$-(2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 c_1 p_1 + \kappa_1 d_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 p_2 + \kappa_2 d_2 p_2) = 2\lambda. \tag{62}$$

Note that by statement 3) of Lemma 2 we have $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b_1 \neq b_2$. It follows from (47) and (50) that

$$c_1 = \frac{(1-b_2)\kappa_3 - \kappa_1 p_1 (b_2 - b_1)}{2\sigma_1 (b_2 - b_1)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{(1-b_1)\kappa_3 - \kappa_2 p_2 (b_1 - b_2)}{2\sigma_2 (b_1 - b_2)}. \tag{63}$$

We find from (48) and (49) that

$$d_1 = \frac{(1-a_2)\kappa_3 - \kappa_1 q_1 (a_2 - a_1)}{2\sigma_1 (a_2 - a_1)}, \quad d_2 = \frac{(1-a_1)\kappa_3 - \kappa_2 q_2 (a_1 - a_2)}{2\sigma_2 (a_1 - a_2)}. \tag{64}$$

Finding λ from (62) and substituting the obtained expression into (55), we get that we should check the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &-2(2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 c_1 p_1 + \kappa_1 d_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 p_2 + \kappa_2 d_2 p_2) \\ &\qquad \times(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3) = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Substitute (56), (57), (63), and (64) into (). After elementary computations we see that the verification of () is reduced to the verification of the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2)((1-b_2)(1-a_2)(a_1 - b_1) + (1-b_1)(1-a_1)(b_2 - a_2)) \\ &\qquad = -(b_2 - b_1)(a_2 - a_1)(a_1 - b_1)(b_2 - a_2). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

The correctness of () follows from statement 1) of Lemma 2. Thus, in case **III** equality (54) is proved.

To consider the remaining cases put in () $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$. Taking into account that $\lambda_j(-n) = \lambda_j(n),\ n \in \mathbf{Z},\ j = 1, 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 + \kappa_1 d_1 + \kappa_2 d_2 + 2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 c_1 q_1 \\ &+\kappa_1 d_1 p_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 q_2 + \kappa_2 d_2 p_2 + \lambda_1(1) + \lambda_2(1) + \lambda_3(3) = 3\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

IV. Let $p_1 = p_2 = -1$, and $q_1 = -q_2$. Putting in () $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 0$, we obtain

$$2(\kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2) + \lambda_1(1) + \lambda_2(1) + \lambda_3(3) = 5\lambda.$$

It follows from this and from () that

$$\kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 - (2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1 + 2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2 + \kappa_1 c_1 q_1 - \kappa_2 c_2 q_1) = 2\lambda.$$

V. Let $q_1 = q_2 = -1$, and $p_1 = -p_2$. Putting in () $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 0, n_3 = 1$, we get

$$2(\kappa_1 d_1+\kappa_2 d_2)+\lambda_1(1)+\lambda_2(1)+\lambda_3(3)=5\lambda.$$

It follows from this and from () that

$$\kappa_1 d_1+\kappa_2 d_2-(2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1+2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2+\kappa_1 d_1 p_1-\kappa_2 d_2 p_1)=2\lambda.$$

VI. Let either $p_1=q_2=-1$, $p_2=q_1=1$, or $p_1=q_2=1$, $p_2=q_1=-1$. Putting in () $n_1=0, n_2=2, n_3=1$, we find

$$2(2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1+2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2+\kappa_1 c_1 q_1+\kappa_1 d_1 p_1+\kappa_2 c_2 q_2+\kappa_2 d_2 p_2)$$

$$+\lambda_1(1)+\lambda_2(1)+\lambda_3(3)=5\lambda.$$

It follows from this and from () that

$$2\sigma_1 c_1 d_1+2\sigma_2 c_2 d_2+\kappa_1 c_1 p_2+\kappa_1 d_1 p_1+\kappa_2 c_2 p_1+\kappa_2 d_2 p_2$$

$$-(\kappa_1 d_1+\kappa_2 d_2)-(\kappa_1 c_1+\kappa_2 c_2)=2\lambda.$$

Then in each of these cases we reason as in case **III.** Thus, we have proved that equality (54) holds. So, we have obtained the representation

$$\widehat{\nu}(s,n)=\exp\{-(\sigma s^2+\kappa sn+\lambda n^2)\}=\exp\left\{-\sigma\left(s+\frac{\kappa}{2\sigma}n\right)^2\right\},\quad s\in\mathbf{R},\ n\in\mathbf{Z}.$$

Set $H=\{y\in Y:\ \widehat{\nu}(y)=1\}$. It is obvious that $H=\{n(-\frac{\kappa}{2\sigma},1):\ n\in\mathbf{Z}\}$. Then $G=A(X,H)=\{(t,e^{it\frac{\kappa}{2\sigma}}):\ t\in\mathbf{R}\}$. It is clear that $G\cong\mathbf{R}$. We have $\sigma(\nu)\subset G$. Since $\nu=\nu_1*\nu_2*\nu_3=\mu_1*\bar{\mu}_1*\mu_2*\bar{\mu}_2*\mu_3*\bar{\mu}_3$, it follows from Lemma 3 that the distributions μ_j may be replaced by their shifts μ'_j such that $\nu=\mu'_1*\bar{\mu}'_1*\mu'_2*\bar{\mu}'_2*\mu'_3*\bar{\mu}'_3$, and $\sigma(\mu'_j)\subset G$. Since ν is a Gaussian distribution and $G\cong\mathbf{R}$, by the classical Cramér theorem on the decomposition of a Gaussian distribution, we get that all μ'_j Gaussian distributions, and hence μ_j are also Gaussian. $\square$

5. Comments to Theorem 1

Remark 1. For $n=2$ on the group $X=\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T}$ under the conditions of Problem 1 the distributions μ_j are either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures supported in $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ [15]. According to Theorem 1 for $n=3$ the distributions μ_j are only Gaussian. We see that in contrast to the case of the real line $\mathbf{R}$ on the group $X=\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{T}$ the class of distributions which are characterized by the independence of n linear statistics of n independent random variables depends on n.

Remark 2. As established in the proof of Theorem 1, if L_1, L_2, and L_3 are of the form $L_1=\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3$, $L_2=\alpha_1\xi_1+\alpha_2\xi_2+\xi_3$, $L_3=\beta_1\xi_1+\beta_2\xi_2+\xi_3$, and μ_j are nondegenerate distributions, then supports of μ_j are cosets of the group X with respect the one-parameter subgroup $G=\{(t,e^{it\frac{\kappa}{2\sigma}}):\ t\in\mathbf{R}\}$.

We will check that the subgroup G is invariant with respect to all topological automorphisms α_i,β_i. Taking into account (52), (53), (56) and (57), we get that $G=\{(t,e^{it\frac{\kappa_3}{2\sigma_3}}):\ t\in\mathbf{R}\}$. Verify that the subgroup G is invariant with respect to α_1. Since

$$\alpha_1(t,e^{it\frac{\kappa_3}{2\sigma_3}})=\left(a_1t,e^{ic_1t}e^{itp_1\frac{\kappa_3}{2\sigma_3}}\right)=\left(a_1t,e^{ia_1t\frac{\kappa_3}{2\sigma_3}\left(\frac{2c_1\sigma_3}{a_1\kappa_3}+\frac{p_1}{a_1}\right)}\right),$$

it suffices to show that

$$\frac{2c_1\sigma_3}{a_1\kappa_3}+\frac{p_1}{a_1}=1. \tag{68}$$

To check equality (68) substitute first in (68) the representation for c_1 from (63), and then substitute the representation for σ_1 and κ_1 from (56) and (57) into the obtained expression. After simple transformations we see that (68) is reduced to equality 1) of Lemma 2.

Reasoning as above we get that the subgroup G is invariant with respect to $\alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$. Obviously, the restriction of any automorphism α_i, β_i to the subgroup G is a topological automorphism of G.

It follows from what has been said that the statement in Theorem 1 that μ_j are Gaussian distributions can be made without the Cramér theorem but directly from the Skitovich-Darmois theorem for the real line.

We note that the property: G is invariant with respect to all topological automorphisms α_i, β_i, imposes the strong restrictions on α_i, β_i. Indeed, let G be an arbitrary subgroup of the group $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ topologically isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$. Then G is of the form $G = \{(t, e^{i\omega t}) : \ t \in \mathbf{R}\}$, where ω is a fixed real number. It is obvious that the subgroup G is invariant with respect to an automorphism $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ if and only if $c = (a - p)\omega$.

Let $\omega = 0$, i.e. $G = \{(t, 1) : \ t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then $c_1 = c_2 = d_1 = d_2 = 0$, i.e. α_i, β_i are diagonal matrices, moreover statements 1)–5) of Lemma 2 hold for a_i and b_i.

Let $\omega \neq 0$. Assume also that no α_i, β_i is equal to $\pm I$. It follows from this that in addition to statements 1)–5) of Lemma 2 the equalities

$$\frac{c_1}{a_1 - p_1} = \frac{c_2}{a_2 - p_2} = \frac{d_1}{b_1 - q_1} = \frac{d_2}{b_2 - q_2} \tag{69}$$

also hold. In particular, if at least one of these equalities does not hold, then all μ_j are degenerate distributions. If some of the automorphisms α_i, β_i are equal to $\pm I$, then in (69) the expressions corresponding to these automorphisms are omitted.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 can not strengthened to the statement that μ_j are degenerate distributions (compare with Lemma 5). Indeed, let G be an arbitrary one-parameter subgroup of the group $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ of the form $G = \{(t, e^{i\omega t}) : \ t \in \mathbf{R}\}$, where ω is a fixed real number. Let a_i, b_i, where $i = 1, 2$, be nonzero real numbers such that system of equations (6)–(8) has a solution $\sigma_1 > 0, \sigma_2 > 0, \sigma_3 > 0$. Consider the automorphisms $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of the form

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & (a_1 - p_1)\omega \\ 0 & p_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & (a_2 - p_2)\omega \\ 0 & p_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & (b_1 - q_1)\omega \\ 0 & q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & (b_2 - q_2)\omega \\ 0 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ξ_j, where $j = 1, 2, 3$, be independent Gaussian random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_j having the characteristic functions

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(s, n) = \exp\{-\sigma_1(s + \omega n)^2\}, \quad \widehat{\mu}_2(s, n) = \exp\{-\sigma_2(s + \omega n)^2\},$$

$$\widehat{\mu}_3(s, n) = \exp\{-\sigma_3(s + \omega n)^2\}, \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, \ n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Obviously, the support of any distribution μ_j coincides with the subgroup G. Taking into account (6)–(8), it is easy to verify directly that the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(s, n)$ satisfy equation (), and hence, by Lemma 1 the linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$, and $L_3 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2 + \xi_3$ are independent.

Remark 4. On the group $X = \mathbf{T}$ for $n = 2$ under the conditions of Problem 1 the distributions μ_j are either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures [18], and for $n = 3$ all μ_j are degenerate distributions by Lemma 5. On the group $X = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ for $n = 2$ under the conditions of Problem 1 the distributions μ_j are also either Gaussian or convolutions of Gaussian distributions and signed measures [15], but for $n = 3$ all μ_j are Gaussian distributions by Theorem 1. So, we could surmise that with increasing n, the class of distributions in Problem 1, which are characterized by the independence of n linear statistics of n independent random variables, decreases. It turns out that this assumption is not true.

Indeed, let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3, ξ_4 be independent random variables with values in the group $\mathbf{T}$ and distributions μ_j. Consider the linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3+\xi_4$, $L_2 = \xi_1+\xi_2-\xi_3-\xi_4$, $L_3 = \xi_1-\xi_2+\xi_3-\xi_4$, and $L_4 = \xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4$. Take $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \gamma * \pi_1$, $\mu_3 = \mu_4 = \gamma * \pi_2$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T})$, and π_j are signed measures supported in $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ such that $\pi_1 * \pi_2 = E_1$. It is easy to verify that in this case the linear statistics L_1, L_2, L_3, and L_4 are independent, but all $\mu_j \notin \Gamma(\mathbf{T})$.

6. Solution of Problem 1 for the group $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$

Pass to the solution of Problem 1 in the case $n = 3$ for the group $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$. Remind the definition of $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic solenoid $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, where all $a_j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $a_j > 1$. First we define the group of $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic integers $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. As a set $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ coincides with the Cartesian product $\mathop{\mathbf{P}}\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}\{0, 1, \dots, a_n - 1\}$. Consider $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, and define the sum $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}$ as follows. Let $x_0 + y_0 = t_0a_0 + z_0$, where $z_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_0 - 1\}$, $t_0 \in \{0, 1\}$. Assume that the numbers $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k$; $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k$ have been already determined. Let us put then $x_{k+1} + y_{k+1} + t_k = t_{k+1}a_{k+1} + z_{k+1}$, where $z_{k+1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_{k+1} - 1\}$, $t_{k+1} \in \{0, 1\}$. This defines by induction a sequence $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots)$. The set $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ with the addition defined above is an Abelian group. The obtained group considering in the product topology is called the $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic integers. Consider the group $\mathbf{R} \times \Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Let B be the subgroup of the group $\mathbf{R} \times \Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ of the form $B = \{(n, n\boldsymbol{u})\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where $\boldsymbol{u} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. The factor-group $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} = (\mathbf{R} \times \Delta_{\boldsymbol{a}})/B$ is called the $\boldsymbol{a}$-adic solenoid. The group $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is compact, connected and has dimension one [24, (10.12), (10.13), (24.28)]. Denote by $\mathbf{Q}$ the group of rational numbers considering in the discrete topology. The character group of the group $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup $H_{\boldsymbol{a}} \subset \mathbf{Q}$ of the form

$$H_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \left\{\frac{m}{a_0a_1\dots a_n} : \quad n = 0, 1, \dots;\ m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$$

[24, (25.3)].

Let $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$. Denote by $x = (g, z)$, where $g \in \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, and $z \in \mathbf{T}$, elements of the group X. If $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$, then $Y \cong H_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{Z}$. In order to avoid introducing new notation we assume that $Y = H_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{Z}$, and denote by $y = (r, n)$, where $r \in H_{\boldsymbol{a}}$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, elements of Y. It is easy to verify that every automorphism $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}(H_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{Z})$ is defined by a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, where $a \in \mathrm{Aut}(H_{\boldsymbol{a}})$, $c \in H_{\boldsymbol{a}}, p = \pm1$, and ε operates on $Y = H_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{Z}$ as follows

$$\varepsilon(r, n) = (ar + cn, pn), \quad r \in H_{\boldsymbol{a}},\ n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then the adjoint automorphism $\delta = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is of the form

$$\delta(g, z) = (\widetilde{a}g, (g, c)z^p), \quad g \in \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}},\ z \in \mathbf{T}.$$

Denote by ι the natural embedding $\iota : Y \mapsto \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$, $\iota(r, n) = (r, n)$. Let $\tau = \widetilde{\iota}$ be the adjoint homomorphism $\tau : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T} \mapsto X$. Put $g_t = \tau(t, 1)$, $g_t \in \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Then $\tau(t, z) = (g_t, z)$. Since, $\overline{\iota(Y)} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Z}$, we conclude that τ is a monomorphism [24, (24.41)].

Lemma 9 ([15]). *Let r be a rational number, $\tau : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T} \mapsto X$ be the homomorphism defined above. Let $K = \{(t,\ e^{itr}) :\ t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$. Then $\overline{\tau(K)} \cong \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$.*

Lemma 10. *Let* $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$*, and* $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$*, where* $i, j = 1, 2, 3$*. Let* ξ_j*, where* $j = 1, 2, 3$*, be independent random variables with values in* X *and distributions* μ_j *such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Assume that the linear statistics* $L_1 = \alpha_{11}\xi_1 + \alpha_{12}\xi_2 + \alpha_{13}\xi_3$*,* $L_2 = \alpha_{21}\xi_1 + \alpha_{22}\xi_2 + \alpha_{23}\xi_3$*, and* $L_3 = \alpha_{31}\xi_1 + \alpha_{32}\xi_2 + \alpha_{33}\xi_3$ *are independent. Then the distributions* μ_j *may be replaced by their shifts* μ'_j *such that* μ'_j *are concentrated on the subgroup* $\tau(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T})$*.*

The proof of this lemma can be done in a way as in [15] the corresponding statement was proved for two independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in the group X and two linear statistics $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \delta\xi_2$, where $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let* $X = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \times \mathbf{T}$*, and* $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$*, where* $i, j = 1, 2, 3$*. Let* ξ_j*, where* $j = 1, 2, 3$*, be independent random variables with values in* X *and distributions* μ_j *such that their characteristic functions do not vanish. Assume that the linear statistics* $L_1 = \alpha_{11}\xi_1 + \alpha_{12}\xi_2 + \alpha_{13}\xi_3$*,* $L_2 = \alpha_{21}\xi_1 + \alpha_{22}\xi_2 + \alpha_{23}\xi_3$*, and* $L_3 = \alpha_{31}\xi_1 + \alpha_{32}\xi_2 + \alpha_{33}\xi_3$ *are independent. Then all* μ_j *are either degenerate distributions or Gaussian distributions such that their supports are cosets of the group* X *with respect of a subgroup topologically isomorphic to* $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}}$*.*

Proof. Let the homomorphism τ be defined as above. Put $B = \tau(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T})$. It is easily seen that the subgroup B is invariant with respect to any automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. For each automorphism α we define the mapping $\bar{\alpha} : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T} \mapsto \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$ by the formula $\bar{\alpha}(t, z) = \tau^{-1}\alpha\tau(t, z)$. It is easily verified that $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T})$, and the same matrix corresponds to $\bar{\alpha}$ and to α. Taking into account Lemma 10, we can assume from the beginning that the distributions μ_j are concentrated on the subgroup B. Note that because τ is a continuous and on-to-one mapping, by the Suslin theorem images of Borel sets under the mapping τ are also Borel sets.

Let ξ be a random variable with values in the group X and with the distribution concentrated on the subgroup B. Put $\widetilde{\xi} = \tau^{-1}\xi$. Then $\widetilde{\xi}$ is a random variable with values in the group $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{T}$. Consider random variables $\widetilde{\xi}_j$. Since $\tau^{-1}\alpha_{ij}\xi_j = \bar{\alpha}_{ij}\widetilde{\xi}_j$, the linear statistics $\widetilde{L}_1 = \bar{\alpha}_{11}\widetilde{\xi}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_{12}\widetilde{\xi}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_{13}\widetilde{\xi}_3$, $\widetilde{L}_2 = \bar{\alpha}_{21}\widetilde{\xi}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_{22}\widetilde{\xi}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_{23}\widetilde{\xi}_3$, and $\widetilde{L}_3 = \bar{\alpha}_{31}\widetilde{\xi}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_{32}\widetilde{\xi}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_{33}\widetilde{\xi}_3$ are independent. Let $\widetilde{\mu}_j$ be the distributions of the random variables $\widetilde{\xi}_j$. Since $\mu_j = \tau(\widetilde{\mu}_j)$, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 9. $\square$

References

[1] *Скитович В.П.* Об одном свойстве нормального распределения. — Докл. АН СССР, 1953, т. 89, с. 217–219.

[2] *Darmois.* Analyse generale des liaisons stochastiques. — Rev. Inst. Intern. Stat, 1953, v. 21, p. 2–8.

[3] *Каган А.М., Линник Ю.В., Рао С.Р.* Характеризационные задачи математической статистики. М.: Наука, 1972.

[4] *Фельдман Г.М.* Характеризация гауссовского распределения на группах независимостью линейных статистик. — Сиб. мат. журнал, 1990, т. 31, № 2, с. 180–190.

[5] *Фельдман Г.М.* К теореме Скитовича–Дармуа на абелевых группах. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 1992, т. 37, в. 4, с. 695–708.

[6] *Фельдман Г.М.* Теорема Скитовича–Дармуа для компактных групп. – Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 1996, т. 41, в. 4, с. 901–906.

[7] *Фельдман Г.М.* Теорема Скитовича–Дармуа для дискретных периодических абелевых групп. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 1997, т. 42, в. 4, с. 747–756.

[8] *Neuenschwander D., Schott R.* The Bernstein and Skitovich-Darmois characterization theorems for Gaussian distributions on groups, symmetric spaces, and quantum groups. — Exposition. Math, 1997, v. 15, № 4, p. 289–314.

[9] *Фельдман Г.М.* К теореме Скитовича–Дармуа для конечных абелевых групп. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 2000, т. 45, в. 3, с. 603–607.

[10] *Feldman G.M., Graczyk P.* On the Skitovich-Darmois theorem on compact Abelian groups. — J. Theoret. Probab, 2000, v. 13. № 3, p. 859–869.

[11] *Feldman G.M.* On a characterization theorem for locally compact abelian groups. — Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 200, v. 133, № 1, p. 345–357.

[12] *Миронюк М.В., Фельдман Г.М.* Независимые линейные статистики на двумерном торе. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 2007, 52, в. 1, с. 3–20.

[13] *Фельдман Г.М.* Независимые линейные статистики на a-адических соленоидах. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 2009, 54, в. 3, с. 515–532.

[14] *Feldman G.M., Graczyk P.* The Skitovich–Darmois theorem for locally compact Abelian groups. — J. Australian Math. Soc, 2010, № 3, p. 339–352.

[15] *Feldman G.M., Myronyuk M.V.* Independent linear forms on connected Abelian groups. Mathematische Nachrichten, 2011, v. 284, № 2–3, p. 255–265.

[16] *Feldman G.M.* Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups. EMS Tracts in Mathematics, v. 5, Zurich: European Mathematical Society, 2008, 268 p.

[17] *Фельдман Г.М.* Гауссовские распределения в смысле Бернштейна на группах. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 1986, т. 31, в. 1, с. 47–58.

[18] *Baryshnikov Y., Eisenberg B., Stadje W.* Independent Variables with Independent Sum and Difference: S^1-Case. — Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 1993, v. 45, № 2, p. 161–170.

[19] *Parthasarathy K.R., Ranga Rao R., Varadhan S. R. S.* Probability distributions on locally compact abelian groups. — Illinois J. Math, 1963, v. 7, p. 337–369.

[20] *Parthasarathy K.R.* Probability measures on metric spaces. — New York and London: Academic Press, 1967, 276 p.

[21] *Фельдман Г.М.* О разложении гауссовского распределения на группах. — Теория вероятн. и ее примен., 1977, т. 22, в. 1, с. 136–143.

[22] *Fel'dman G.M.* Arithmetic of probability distributions and characterization problems on Abelian groups. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc. 1993, 223 p. (Transl. Math. Monogr. v. 116.)

[23] *Djoković D. Ž.* A representation theorem for $(X_1-1)(X_2-1)\cdots(X_n-1)$ and its applications. — Ann. Polon. Math, 1969/1970, v. 22, p. 189–198.

[24] *Хьюитт Э., Росс К.* Абстрактный гармонический анализ, т. 1. М.: Наука, 1975, 656 с.